Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/701,163	CLAWSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Prince	1724	
All Participants:	Status of Applica	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Fred Prince</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Linda Fox</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 June 2005	Time: <u>2:30</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 36			
Prior art documents discussed: US Pat No 5,951,921			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.	•	,	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will prove eparate record of the substa	de a written summary of the substance nce of the interview, since the interview	
•			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Repres	entative Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed amending claim 36 such that the ozone is added directly to the aqueous stream to patentably distinguish the instant invention over the prior art. A summary of the agreed-upon amendment is provided in the attached examiner's amendment..